

## SOME FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY REGULAR MAPS IN N-FUZZY METRIC SPACE

Shikha Shende<sup>1\*</sup>, Geeta Agrawal<sup>1</sup> and Neeraj Malviya<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. M.V.M. Bhopal, M.P., India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. College Timarni, Harda, M.P., India

Email: [shikhashende1701@gmail.com](mailto:shikhashende1701@gmail.com)



Date of Received

09 June, 2021



Date of Revised

19 June, 2021



Date of Acceptance

26 June, 2021



Date of Publication

30 September, 2021

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.51514/JSTR.3.3.2021.9-13>



# JSTR

*"together we can and we will make a difference"*

I-3 Vikas Nagar, Housing Board Colony, Berasia Road, Karond Bhopal-462038

Domain: [www.jstr.org.in](http://www.jstr.org.in), Email: [editor@jstr.org.in](mailto:editor@jstr.org.in), Contact: 09713990647

© JSTR All rights reserved

# SOME FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY REGULAR MAPS IN N-FUZZY METRIC SPACE

Shikha Shende<sup>1\*</sup>, Geeta Agrawal<sup>1</sup> and Neeraj Malviya<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. M.V.M. Bhopal, M.P., India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. College Timarni, Harda, M.P., India.

Email: shikhashende1701@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we investigate two fixed point theorem on the structure of N-fuzzy metric space using asymptotically regular map and asymptotically regular sequence. This result generalizes the paper of Goswami et. al. [5].

**Keywords:** N- fuzzy metric space, fixed point theorem, asymptotically regular maps, asymptotically regular sequence.

## INTRODUCTION

In 1975, Kramosil and Michalek [6] introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space. George and Veeramani [4] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space. In 1963, Gahler [2, 3] generalized usual notion of metric space called 2-metric space. Using the notion of 2-metric space, S. Sharma [14] and S. Kumar [7] introduced fuzzy-2-metric spaces without knowing each other but Ha et al. shows that 2-metric need not be continuous function, further there is no easy relationship between results obtained in the two settings. In 1992 Bapure Dhage [1] in his Ph.D thesis introduced a new class of generalized metric space called D-metric space [8]. B. Singh and M. Chouhan [15] defined S-fuzzy metric space by using the concept of D-metric space. However, Mustafa and Sims in [9] have pointed out that most of the results claimed by Dhage and others in D-metric spaces are invalid. To overcome these fundamental flaws, they introduced a new concept of generalized metric space called G-metric space [9]. Using the concept of G-metric space, G. Sun and K Yang [16] introduced the notion of Q-Fuzzy metric space, K.P.R. Rao et. al. [10] proved two fixed point theorems in symmetric Q(G)-metric space, Sedghi et. al. in [13] introduced D\*-metric space which is a generalization of G-metric space and gave an example which is D\* metric space but not G-metric space. Using the concept of D\*-metric space, Sedghi and Shobe [11] defined M-fuzzy metric space. Very recently, Sedghi et. al [12] defined S-metric space which is a generalization of D\*-metric space and G-metric space and justified their work by various examples and definitions related to topology of S-metric space. N. Malviya [17] introduced the

notion of N-fuzzy metric space, pseudo N-fuzzy metric space and describe some of their properties and examples.

In this paper, using the notion of asymptotic regularity of mapping, we prove some fixed point theorem in N-fuzzy metric space.

### Preliminaries

**Definition 1:-** A mapping  $*$ :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a continuous t-norm if  $([0, 1], *)$  is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that  $a * b \leq c * d$  for  $a \leq c, b \leq d$ . Examples of t-norms are  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ ,  $a * b = ab$  and  $a * b = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$

**Definition 2:-** A triplet  $(X, N, *)$  is an N-fuzzy metric space, if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous t-norm and  $N$  is a fuzzy set on  $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $r, s, t > 0$

- (1)  $N(x, y, z, t) > 0$
- (2)  $N(x, y, z, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y = z$
- (3)  $N(x, y, z, r + s + t) \geq N(x, x, a, r) * N(y, y, a, s) * N(z, z, a, t)$

(4)  $N(x, y, z, \cdot): (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  is a continuous function.

**Definition 3:-** let  $(X, N, *)$  be a N-fuzzy metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ .  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  if  $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N(x_n, x_m, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon, \forall n, m \geq n_0$ , or equivalently, if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$ .  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$  if  $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N(x, y, z, t) > 1 - \varepsilon \forall n \geq n_0$ .

$X$  is said to be complete if and only if every

cauchy sequence converges in X.

**Definition 4:-** A mapping  $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called an altering distance function if

- (i)  $\phi$  is strictly decreasing and left continuous.
- (ii)  $\phi(\lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1$   
i.e,  $\lim_{\phi \rightarrow 1^-} \phi(1) = 0$ .

**Definition 5:-** Let f and g be self mappings on a N-fuzzy metric space  $(X, N, *)$  and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. f is said to be asymptotically regular at a point  $x_0 \in X$  if

$$\left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(p^n(x_0), p^n(x_0), p^{n+1}(x_0), t) = 1, \forall t > 0. \right)$$

Also the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be asymptotically regular with respect to the pair (p, q) if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(p(x_n), p(x_n), q(x_n), t) = 1, \forall t > 0$ .

**Definition 6:-** Two self-mapping f and g on a fuzzy metric space  $(X, N, *)$  are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(pq(x_n), pq(x_n), qp(x_n), t) = 1, \forall t > 0,$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x_n) = x$ , for some  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 1:-** Let  $(X, N, *)$  be a complete fuzzy metric space,  $\phi$  be the altering distance function and  $p : X \rightarrow X$  be such that the following condition is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(N(p(x), p(x), p(y), t)) \leq \\ & b_1(x, y)\theta[\min\{\phi(N(x, x, p(x), t)), \phi(N(y, y, p(y), t))\}] + \\ & b_2(x, y)\phi[\phi(N(x, x, p(x), t)) \cdot \phi(N(y, y, p(y), t))] + \\ & b_3(x, y)\phi(N(x, x, y, t)) + \\ & b_4(x, y) (\phi(N(x, x, p(x), t)) + \\ & \phi(N(y, y, p(y), t))) + b_5(x, y)[\phi(N(x, \\ & x, p(y), t)) + \phi(N(p(x), p(x), y, t))] \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1)$$

$\forall x, y \in X, t > 0$  where  $b_i : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty), i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  are such that for some arbitrarily fixed  $\lambda_1 > 0, 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < 1$

$$b_1(x, y) + b_2(x, y) \leq \lambda_1$$

$$b_3(x, y) + b_4(x, y) + 2b_5(x, y) \leq \lambda_2$$

And  $\theta, \psi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  are continuous functions at and  $\theta(0) = \psi(0) = 0$ .

If s is asymptotically regular at some point  $x_0 \in X$ , then p has a unique fixed point in X.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X where  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_{n+1} = p(x_n) \forall n \geq 0$ . Now if for some  $n \geq 0, x_n = x_{n+1}$ , then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of f. Suppose that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1} \forall n$ . We show that the

sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

Suppose to the contrary  $\exists 0 < \epsilon < 1, t > 0$  and two sequence of integers  $\{r_n\}$  and  $\{s_n\}$  such that  $r_n > s_n > n$ ,

$$N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n}, t) \leq 1 - \epsilon$$

$$N(x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n-1}, x_{s_n-1}, t) > 1 - \epsilon$$

$$N(x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n-1}, x_{s_n}, t) > 1 - \epsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

... (4)

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \epsilon & \geq N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n}, t) \\ & \geq N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{r_n-1}, \frac{t}{3}) \\ & * N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{r_n-1}, \frac{t}{3}) \\ & * N(x_{s_n}, x_{s_n}, x_{r_n-1}, \frac{t}{3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \epsilon \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n}, t) \geq (1 * 1 * 1 - \epsilon)$$

(since f asymptotically regular at  $x_0$ )

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n}, t) = 1 - \epsilon$$

... (5)

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n-1}, t) & \geq N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n}, \frac{t}{3}) * \\ N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n}, \frac{t}{3}) & * N(x_{s_n-1}, x_{s_n-1}, x_{s_n}, \frac{t}{3}) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n-1}, t) & > 1 - \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

... (6)

Taking  $x = x_{r_n-1}$  and  $y = x_{s_n-1}$  in (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n}, t)) \leq \\ & b_1(x, y)\theta(\min\{\phi(N(x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n}, t)), \phi(N(x_{s_n-1}, x_{s_n-1}, x_{s_n}, t))\}) \\ & + b_2(x, y)\phi(\phi(N(x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n}, t)) \cdot \phi(N(x_{s_n-1}, x_{s_n-1}, x_{s_n}, t))) \\ & + b_3(x, y)\phi(N(x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n-1}, x_{s_n-1}, t)) + b_4(x, y) \\ & [\phi(N(x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n}, t)) + \phi(N(x_{s_n-1}, x_{s_n-1}, x_{s_n}, t))] + \\ & b_5(x, y) [\phi(N(x_{r_n-1}, x_{r_n-1}, x_{s_n}, t)) + \phi(N(x_{r_n}, x_{r_n}, x_{s_n-1}, t))] \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and by (4), (5), (6) and using the fact that p is asymptotically regular at  $x_0$  we have ,

$$\phi(1 - \epsilon) \leq b_3(x, y)\phi(1 - \epsilon) + 2b_5(x, y)\phi(1 - \epsilon) < \phi(1 - \epsilon)$$

Which is a contradiction.

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, N, *)$  is a complete N-fuzzy metric space,  $\exists z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now,} \quad & \phi(N(p(x_n), (p(x_n), p(z), t)) \leq \\ & b_1(x, y)\theta(\min\{\phi(N(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, t)), \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t))\}) \\ & + b_2(x, y)\phi(\phi(N(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, t)) \cdot \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t))) + \\ & b_3(x, y)\phi(N(x_n, x_n, z, t)) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b_4(x, y)[\phi(N(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, t)) + \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t))] + \\
 & b_5(x, y)[\phi(N(x_n, x_n, p(z), t)) + \phi(N(z, z, x_{n+1}, t))] \\
 & \text{For } n \rightarrow \infty \\
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t)) \leq [b_4(x, y) \\
 & \quad + b_5(x, y)] \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t)) \\
 & \Rightarrow [1 - b_4(x, y) - b_5(x, y)] \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t)) \\
 & \quad \leq 0 \\
 & \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t)) = 0 \\
 & (\text{Since } 0 < b_3(x, y) + b_4(x, y) + 2b_5(x, y) < 1) \\
 & \Rightarrow p(z) = z.
 \end{aligned}$$

If u is another fixed point of f in X, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \phi(N(p(u), p(u), p(z), t)) \\
 & \leq b_1(x, y)\theta(\min\{\phi(N(u, u, p(u), t)), \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t))\}) \\
 & + b_2(x, y)\phi(\phi(N(u, u, p(u), t)), \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t))) \\
 & + b_3(x, y)(\phi(N(u, u, z, t)) \\
 & + b_4(x, y)[\phi(N(u, u, p(u), t)) + \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t))] \\
 & + b_5(x, y)[\phi(N(u, u, p(z), t)) + \phi(N(z, z, p(u), t))] \\
 & \Rightarrow \phi(N(u, u, z, t)) \\
 & \quad \leq b_3(x, y)\phi(N(u, u, z, t)) \\
 & \quad + 2b_5(x, y)\phi(N(u, u, z, t)) \\
 & \Rightarrow [1 - b_3(x, y) - 2b_5(x, y)] \phi(N(u, u, z, t)) \leq 0 \\
 & \Rightarrow \phi(N(u, u, z, t)) = 0 \\
 & (\text{Since } 0 < b_3(x, y) + b_4(x, y) + 2b_5(x, y) < 1) \\
 & \Rightarrow u = z,
 \end{aligned}$$

**Example:-** Let  $(X, N, *)$  is N-fuzzy metric space where  $X = [0, 1]$  and N is a fuzzy set on  $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$  to  $[0, 1]$  defined by

$$N(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + [|x - z| + |y - z|]}$$

For all  $x, y, z \in X$  and “\*” be the minimum t-norm ( as defined in definition ) define  $S: X \rightarrow X$  by

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{3}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{1}{6}, & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } \phi(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda, \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

$$\text{Let } \phi(p) = \sqrt{p} \text{ and } \psi(q) = q^2, \forall p, q \in R^+$$

$$\text{Also let } b_1(x, y) = |x - y|, b_2(x, y) = |x^2 - y^2|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_3(x, y) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x - y|}, & x \neq y \\ 0, & x = y \end{cases} \\
 & b_4(x, y) \\
 & = b_5(x, y) \\
 & = \left| \frac{1 - b_3(x, y)}{3} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Then all conditions of theorem 1 satisfied, hence by theorem 1  $\delta$  has unique fixed point 0 in X.

**Corollary:-** Let  $p, q : X \rightarrow X$  be mapping on a complete fuzzy metric space  $(X, N, *)$  and  $\phi$  be the altering distance function. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be asymptotically regular at a point  $x_0 \in X$  and both satisfy the inequality (1). Moreover, if

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \phi(N(p(x), p(x), q(y), t)) \leq k (\phi(N(x, x, y, t)) + \\
 & \phi(N(x, x, p(x), t)) + \phi(N(y, y, q(y), t))), \dots (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $0 < k < 1$  and  $x, y \in X$ ,

Then  $p$  and  $q$  have a unique common fixed point in X.

**Proof.** From theorem [1], both  $f$  and  $g$  have unique fixed points say,  $z$  and  $v$  respectively.

Since  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy (7),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \phi(N(p(z), p(z), q(v), t)) \leq k (\phi(N(z, z, v, t)) + \\
 & \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t)) + \phi(N(v, v, q(v), t))), \\
 & \Rightarrow \phi(N(z, z, v, t)) \leq k (\phi(N(z, z, v, t)) \\
 & \quad + \phi(N(z, z, z, t)) \\
 & \quad + \phi(N(v, v, v, t))) \\
 & \Rightarrow (1 - k)\phi(N(z, z, v, t)) \leq 0 \\
 & \Rightarrow \phi(N(z, z, v, t)) \leq 0 \quad (\text{since } k < 1) \\
 & \Rightarrow z = v
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $p$  and  $q$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 2:-** Let  $p: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping on a complete fuzzy metric space  $(X, N, *)$  and  $\phi$  is the altering distance function. If  $f$  is asymptotically regular at a point  $x_0 \in X$  and  $f$  satisfies,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \phi(N(f(x), f(x), f(y), t)) \leq h_1 \min \\
 & \{\phi(N(x, x, y, t)), \phi(N(f(x), f(x), x, t)), \phi(N(f(x), f(x), y, t))\} \\
 & + h_2 \min\{\phi(N(x, x, y, t)), \phi(N(f(y), f(y), y, t)), \phi(N(x, x, f(y), t))\}
 \end{aligned}$$

For all  $x, y \in X, t > 0$ , where  $h_1, h_2 > 0$  are constants such that  $h_1 + h_2 < 1$ ,

Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in X.

**Proof.** As in Theorem 1, we construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X by  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $x_0 \in X$ . If there exists  $n$  with  $x_n = x_{n+1}$ , then  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Suppose that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ .

To show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . From (8)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), p(x_m), t)) \\
 & \leq h_1 \min\{\phi(N(x_n, x_n, x_m, t)), \phi(N(p(x_n), \\
 & p(x_n), x_n, t)), \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), x_m, t))\} \\
 & + h_2 \min\{\phi(N(x_n, x_n, x_m, t)), \phi(N(p(x_m), \\
 & p(x_m), x_m, t)), \phi(N(x_n, x_n, [p(x)]_m, t))\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, f is asymptotically regular at  $x_0 \in X$ , taking  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), p(x_m), t)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} N(p(x_n), p(x_n), p(x_m), t) = 1.$$

i.e.  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, N, *)$  since  $(X, N, *)$  is complete, therefore  $x_n \rightarrow z$  (say) in  $X$ .

Using (8)

$$\phi(N(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, p(z), t))$$

$$= \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), p(z), t)) \leq$$

$$h_1 \min\{\phi(N(x_n, x_n, z, t)), \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), x_n, t)),$$

$$(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), z, t))$$

$$+ h_2 \min\{\phi(N(x_n, x_n, z, t)), \phi(N(p(z), p(z), z, t)),$$

$$\phi(N(x_n, x_n, p(z), t))\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(N(z, z, p(z), t)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p(z) = z, \text{ establishes that } z \text{ is a fixed point for } p.$$

Uniqueness can be shown easily.

Hence, z is the unique fixed point of p.

**Theorem 3** :- Let  $(X, N, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space,  $\phi$  be the altering distance function and p and q be two commutative self-mappings on X such that

$$\phi(N(p(x), p(x), p(y), t)) \leq$$

$$k_1[\phi(N(q(x), q(x), q(y), t)) +$$

$$k_2(\phi(N(q(x), q(x), p(y), t)) +$$

$$\phi(N(q(y), q(y), p(y), t))]$$

... (9)

Where  $x, y \in X, t > 0$  and  $k_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1), 0 < k_1, k_2 < 1$ .

Moreover if

- (i) and q are asymptotically regular at  $x_0$ .
  - (ii)  $(X) \subseteq q(X)$ ,
  - (iii)  $(X)$  or  $q(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ ,
- then p and q have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Since  $p(X) \subseteq q(X)$ , define a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  by  $u_{n+1} = p(x_n) = q(x_{n+1}), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Again since p and q are asymptotically regular at  $x_0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(N(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}, t)) = 0 \quad \dots(10)$$

To show that the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is cauchy.

Suppose, there exists  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and two sequence of integers  $\{r_n\}$  and  $\{s_n\}$  such that  $r_n > s_n > n$ ,

$$N(u_{r_n}, u_{r_n}, u_{s_n}, t) \leq 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$N(u_{r_n-1}, u_{r_n-1}, u_{s_n-1}, t) > 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$N(u_{r_n-1}, u_{r_n-1}, u_{s_n}, t) > 1 - \epsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

... (11)

Following the technique applied in theorem 2.1 we can show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(u_{r_n}, u_{r_n}, u_{s_n}, t) = 1 - \epsilon, t > 0$$

... (12)

$$\phi(N(u_{r_n+1}, u_{r_n+1}, u_{s_n+1}, t)) =$$

$$\phi(N(p(x_{r_n}), p(x_{r_n}), p(x_{s_n}), t)) \leq$$

$$k_1[\phi(N(q(x_{r_n}), q(x_{r_n}), q(x_{s_n}), t)) +$$

$$k_2(\phi(N(q(x_{r_n}), q(x_{r_n}), p(x_{r_n}), t)) +$$

$$\phi(N(q(x_{s_n}), q(x_{s_n}), p(x_{s_n}), t)))]$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (10) and (12) we have

$$\phi(1 - \epsilon) \leq k_1 \phi(1 - \epsilon) < \phi(1 - \epsilon)$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\{u_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence.

Suppose that  $q(X)$  is complete, then there exist  $v \in q(X)$  such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = v$ . Also, for some  $z \in X$  we have  $q(z) = v$ .

Now,

$$\phi(N(p(z), p(z), u_{n+1}, t))$$

$$= \phi(N(p(z), p(z), p(x_n), t))$$

$$\leq k_1[\phi(N(q(z), q(z), q(x_n), t))$$

$$+ k_2(\phi(N(p(z), p(z), q(z), t))$$

$$+ \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), q(x_n), t)))]$$

For  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\phi(N(p(z), p(z), v, t)) \leq k_1[k_2 \phi(N(p(z), p(z), v, t))]$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - k_1 \cdot k_2) \phi(N(p(z), p(z), v, t)) \stackrel{p}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(N(p(z), p(z), v, t)) = 0 \quad p$$

$$\Rightarrow p(z) = v$$

Therefore  $p(z) = v = q(z)$  i.e., z is the coincident point of p and q.

Next, from (9),

$$\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), t))$$

$$\leq k_1[\phi(N(q(p(z)), q(p(z)), q(z), t))$$

$$+ k_2(\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), q(p(z)), t))$$

$$+ \phi(N(p(z), p(z), q(z), t)))]$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= k_1 \left[ \phi \left( N(p(q(z)), p(q(z)), q(z), t) \right) \right. \\
 &+ k_2 \left( \phi \left( N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(q(z)), t) \right) \right. \\
 &\left. \left. + \phi(N(p(z), p(z), q(z), t)) \right) \right] \\
 &(\text{since } pq = qp) \\
 &= k_1 \left[ \phi \left( N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), t) \right) \right. \\
 &+ k_2 \left( \phi \left( N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(p(z)), t) \right) \right. \\
 &\left. \left. + \phi(N(p(z), p(z), p(z), t)) \right) \right] \\
 &= k_1 \phi \left( N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), t) \right) \\
 \Rightarrow (1 - k_1) \phi \left( N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), t) \right) &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow \phi \left( N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), t) \right) &= 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow p(p(z)) = p(z) = v
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $q(q(z)) = q(z) = v$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1]. Dhage B.C., Generalized metric spaces and mapping with fixed points, Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, 84(1992), 329-336.
- [2]. Gahlers S., 2-metrische Raume and ihre topologische structure, Math.Nachr, 26(1963), 115-148.
- [3]. Gahlers S., Zur geometric 2-metrische raume, Revue Roumaine Math.Pures Appl., 11(1966), 665-667
- [4]. George A., Veeramani P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 64(1994), 395-399.
- [5]. Goswami N., Patir B. Fixed point theorems for asymptotically regular mappings in fuzzy metric Spaces, Korean J Math 27 (2019), No.4, pp 861-877
- [6]. Kramosil O., Michalek J., Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 11(1975), 326-334.
- [7]. Kumar S., Common fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2-metric spaces, Uni.Din. Bacau. Studii Si Cercetiri Sciintifice, Serial: Mathematical, 18(2008), 111-116.
- [8]. Mustafa Z., Sims B., Some remarks concerning D-metric space, International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia, Spain, (2003), 189-198.
- [9]. Mustafa Z., Sims B., A new approach to generalized metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, 7(2) (2006), 289-297.
- [10]. Rao K.P.R., Altun I., Hina Bindu S., Common coupled fixed point theorems in generalized fuzzy metric spaces, Advances in Fuzzy Systems, 2011 Article ID 986748, 6 Pages
- [11]. Sedghi S., Shobe N., A common fixed point theorem in two M-fuzzy metric space, Commun, Korean. Math. Soc., 22(4) (2007), 513-526.
- [12]. Sedghi S., Shobe N., Aliouche A., A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik, 64(3) (2012), 258-266
- [13]. Sedghi S., Shobe N., Zhou H., A common fixed point theorem in D\*-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Application, (2007), Article ID 27906, 13 pages.
- [14]. Sharma S., On fuzzy metric space, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 26(2002), 133-145.
- [15]. Singh B., Chauhan M.S., Generalized fuzzy metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Bull, Cal. Math. Soc., 89(1997), 457- 460.
- [16]. Sun G., Yang K., Generalized fuzzy metric spaces with properties, Research journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 2(7) (2010), 673-678.
- [17]. Malviya N., The N-fuzzy metric space and mappings with application DOI:10.1515/fascmath 2015-0019.

Hence  $v$  is a common fixed point of  $p$  and  $q$ . If  $v_1$  is another common point fixed point of  $p$  and  $q$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\phi(N(p(v), p(v), p(v_1), t)) \\
 &\leq k_1 \left[ \phi(N(q(v), q(v), q(v_1), t)) \right. \\
 &+ k_2 \left( \phi(N(p(v), p(v), q(v), t)) \right. \\
 &\left. \left. + \phi(N(p(v_1), p(v_1), q(v_1), t)) \right) \right] \\
 \Rightarrow \phi(N(v, v, v_1, t)) &\leq k_1 \left[ \phi(N(v, v, v_1, t)) \right. \\
 &+ k_2 \left( \phi(N(v, v, v, t)) \right. \\
 &\left. \left. + \phi(N(v_1, v_1, v_1, t)) \right) \right] \\
 \Rightarrow (1 - k_1) \phi(N(v, v, v_1, t)) &= 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow v = v_1
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $p$  and  $q$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

